Environmental Product Declaration

Issue 01

Statement of Verification

CARES EPD No.: 0027

CARES

This is to verify that the Environmental Product Declaration

Provided by:

Al Kawther Steel W.L.L.

Is in accordance with the requirements of: ISO 14025:2010 and EN 15804:2012 + A2:2019/AC2021 and BRE Global PCR for Type III EPD of Construction Products to EN 15804+A2. PN514 3.1

This declaration is for: Carbon Steel Billet (Secondary production route - scrap)

Company address:

Zone 81 Street 10, Gate 63 New Industrial Area PO Box 6763 Doha Qatar





29 May 2025

28 May 2028

Expiry Date

Date of this Issue

ALON.VERIFIED

TJNQOBA TATUNA

LadinCamci

Signed for CARES

Operator

Ladin Camci

29 May 2025 First Issue Date

The validity of this Environmental Product Declaration can be verified by contacting CARES on +44 (0)1732 450 000 or visiting CARES website <u>https://www.carescertification.com/certification-schemes/environmental-product-declarations</u>.

CARES, Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent TN13 1XR

Environmental Product Declaration **EPD Number: CARES EPD 0027** General Information

EPD Programme Operator	CARES Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 1XR UK www.carescertification.com
Applicable Product Category Rules	BRE Global Product Category Rules (PCR) for Type III EPD of Construction Products to EN 15804+A2. PN514 3.1
Commissioner of LCA study	CARES Pembroke House, 21 Pembroke Road, Sevenoaks, Kent, TN13 1XR UK www.carescertification.com
LCA consultant/Tool	CARES EPD Tool version 2.8 SPHERA SOLUTIONS UK LIMITED The Innovation Centre Warwick Technology Park, Gallows Hill, Warwick, Warwickshire CV34 6UW UK www.sphera.com
Declared/Functional Unit	1 tonne of carbon steel billet manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route
Applicability/Coverage	Manufacturer-specific product produced at a single plant of one manufacturer
EPD Type	Cradle to Gate with Modules C1-C4 and Module D
Background database	LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1)

Demonstration of Verification

CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the core PCR $^{\rm a}$

Independent verification of the declaration and data according to EN ISO 14025:2010

(Where appropriate ^b) Third party verifier: Dr Jane Anderson

a: Product category rules

b: Optional for business-to-business communication; mandatory for business-to-consumer communication (see EN ISO 14025:2010, 9.4)

Comparability

Environmental product declarations from different programmes may not be comparable if not compliant with EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021. Comparability is further dependent on the specific product category rules, system boundaries and allocations, and background data sources. See Clause 5.3 of EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC2021 for further guidance

Information modules covered

Pr	Product Stage Construction Stage				Use Stage						End-of-life Stage			Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary		
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B 3	B4	B 5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Raw materials supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport to site	Construction – Installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	Deconstruction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse, Recovery and/or Recycling potential
~	~	~	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	~

Note: Checks indicate the Information Modules declared.

Manufacturing site

Al Kawther Steel W.L.L. Zone 81 Street 10, Gate 63 New Industrial Area PO Box 6763 Doha Qatar

Construction Product:

Product Description

Carbon Steel Billet is non-alloy or low-alloy steel semi-product. Carbon steel billets (according to product standards listed in Summary, Comments and Additional Information) that are manufactured by melting scrap in an IF (Induction Furnace), followed by continuous casting.

Carbon steel billet is used as raw material in the hot rolling process to produce reinforcing steels or wire rods for direct use or to produce wire rods for further processing into reinforcing steels or other structural steel forms.

The declared unit is 1 tonne of carbon steel billets manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route.

Environmental Product Declaration

Technical Information

Property	Value, Unit
Production route	Induction Furnace
Density	7850 kg/m ³
Recycled content (as per ISO 14021:2016/Amd:2021)	95.1 % (Including internal and external scrap) 95.1 % (Including external scrap only)

Main Product Contents

Material/Chemical Input	%
Fe	97
C, Mn, Si, V, Ni, Cu, Cr, Mo and others	3

Manufacturing Process

Steel scrap is melted in an Induction Furnace (IF) to obtain liquid metal. This is then refined to remove impurities, and alloying additives can be made to give the required properties of the steel.

Refined liquid steel is then cast into steel billets in required dimensions to be used as feedstock for the manufacture of rolled constructional steel products.

Steel billets are hot rolled and shaped to the required dimensions for the finished bars of reinforcing steel bar, coil or rod for the reinforcement of concrete for direct use or wire rod for further processing into other reinforcing standards or other forms of structural steels as used in a built structure.

The carbon steel billet products may be packaged by binding with steel wire or straps, either of the steel ties and products do not include any biogenic materials.

Process flow diagram





Construction Installation

Processing and proper use of reinforcing steel and structural steel products manufactured from carbon steel billets depends on the application and should be made in accordance with generally accepted practices, standards and manufacturing recommendations.

During transport and storage of reinforcing steel and structural steel products the usual requirement for securing loads is to be observed.

Use Information

The composition of the reinforcing steel and other structural steel products manufactured from carbon steel billets does not change during use.

Carbon steel reinforcing steel and other structural steel products do not cause adverse health effects under normal conditions of use.

No risks to the environment and living organisms are known to result from the mechanical destruction of the reinforcing steel and structural steel product itself.

End of Life

Reinforcing steel and other structural steel products manufactured from carbon steel feedstock billets are not reused at end of life but can be recycled to the same (or higher/lower) quality of steel depending upon the metallurgy and processing of the recycling route.

It is a high value resource, so efforts are made to recycle steel scrap rather than disposing of it at EoL. A recycling rate of 92% is typical for reinforcing steel products

Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules

This EPD uses the "Cut-off by Classification" method, also known as the recycled content method. It assigns the environmental impacts of primary material production to the initial user. Recyclable materials enter the recycling process without burdens, and secondary materials only bear the impacts of recycling.

This method promotes recycling by making producers responsible for waste management. It supports a circular economy by reducing the environmental impacts of primary material production.

This approach follows ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 standards for Life Cycle Assessments.

The Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) has been carried out using the characterisation method described in EN 15804+A2. The characterisation factors from Environmental Footprint v3.0 (EF 3.0) was applied.

Declared unit description

1 tonne of carbon steel billet manufactured by the secondary (scrap-based) production route.

System boundary

The system boundary of the EPD follows the modular design defined by EN 15804+A2. Type of this EPD is Cradle to Gate – with modules A1 to A3, modules C1-C4, and module D.

Impacts and aspects related to losses/wastage (i.e. production, transport and waste processing and end-of-life stage of lost waste products and materials) are considered in the modules in which the losses/wastage occur.

Once steel scrap has been collected for recycling it is considered to have reached the end of waste state.

Data sources, quality and allocation

Data Sources and Quality:

The selection of data and the data quality requirements have been provided according to the requirements of BS EN 15941:2024.

Data Sources: Manufacturing data of the period 01/01/2024 - 31/12/2024 has been provided by Al Kawther Steel W.L.L. operating on the geographical area noted in Manufacturing Site. A brief description of technology and inputs for the product is given in Manufacturing Process and in simplified Process Flow Diagram

The primary data collection was thorough, considering all relevant flows and these data were verified by CARES, including also the verification of mass balance, to ensure that data for all the inputs and outputs for the process over the period of data collection have been collected, and that the unit process data will comply with the cut-off rules of EN 15804. The EPD covers transport to, and end-of-life in Qatar.

The selection of the background data for electricity generation is in line with the BRE Global PCR PN514 3.1. Country or region-specific power grid mixes are selected from LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1); thus, consumption grid mix of Qatar has been selected to suit specific manufacturing location, and also for fabrication, installation and demolishing location. The emission factor of carbon footprint of the applied consumption grid mix of Qatar in 0.581 kg CO₂ eq/kWh.

Data Quality: Background data is consistently sourced from the LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1). The primary data collection was thorough, considering all relevant flows and these data have been verified during the audit conducted by CARES in May 2025.

There isn't any data from different LCI/LCA databases are used considering that the overall consistency of the study is not adversely affected.

Schemes applied for data quality assessment was as per EN 15804:2012+A2:2019, Annex E, Table E.1 — Data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development. No fair, poor or very poor data was found during the assessment of relevant data.

Data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development:

Geographical Representativeness	: Good
Technical Representativeness	: Very good
Time Representativeness	: Good

Allocation:

Slag from Induction Furnace process and mill scale are produced as co-products from the steel manufacturing processes. Impacts are allocated between the steel, the slag and the mill scale based on economic value. The revenue generated from both mill scale, and induction furnace slag are 0.19% and 0.01% respectively, and their total is less than 1% in relation to the product based on current market prices, these co-products are of definite value and are freely/readily traded in reality. For this reason, economic allocation has been applied to the processes where these co-products arise.

Production losses of steel during the production process are recycled in a closed loop offsetting the requirement for external scrap. Specific information on allocation within the background data is given in the LCA FE (GaBi) Dataset Documentation (Sphera 2023.1).

Cut-off criteria

On the input side all flows entering the system and comprising more than 1% in total mass or contributing more than 1% to primary energy consumption are considered. All inputs used as well as all process-specific waste and process emissions were assessed. For this reason, material streams which were below 1% (by mass) were captured as well. In this manner the cut-off criteria according to the PCR requirements are fulfilled).

The mass of steel wire or strap used for binding the product bundle is less than 1 % of the total mass of the product.

LCA Results

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			GWP- total	GWP- fossil	GWP- biogenic	GWP- Iuluc	ODP	AP	EP- freshwate
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg CO ₂ eq	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CO ₂ eq	kg CFC11 eq	mol H⁺ eq	Kg P ec
	Raw material	A1	55.2	56.7	-1.51	0.018	6.20E-11	0.451	2.46E-04
N/	Transport	A2	1.89	1.90	-0.022	0.015	1.59E-13	0.015	5.89E-06
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	388	388	-0.014	0.004	3.17E-12	0.827	1.28E-05
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	4.45E+02	4.47E+02	-1.55	0.037	6.53E-11	1.29	2.65E-04
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Use stage	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 Lo	andfill Scenario								
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.05	2.05	8.04E-04	4.51E-05	6.29E-14	0.011	2.45E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	41.4	41.9	-0.898	0.407	4.04E-12	0.193	1.61E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	1.17	1.20	-0.040	0.004	3.05E-12	0.009	2.42E-06
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	248	248	-0.484	0.103	-7.27E-10	0.558	1.83E-05
100% Landfill Scenario				1					
X	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.05	2.05	8.04E-04	4.51E-05	6.29E-14	0.011	2.45E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	1.89	1.92	-0.044	0.020	1.88E-13	0.007	7.83E-06
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	14.6	15.0	-0.499	0.047	3.82E-11	0.107	3.02E-05
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.32E+03	2.32E+03	-4.53	0.961	-6.80E-09	5.22	1.71E-04
100% Recycling Scenario		1							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	2.05	2.05	8.04E-04	4.51E-05	6.29E-14	0.011	2.45E-07
End of life	Transport	C2	44.8	45.3	-0.973	0.440	4.37E-12	0.209	1.74E-04
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	67.8	67.9	-0.133	0.028	-1.99E-10	0.153	5.02E-06

GWP-total = Global warming potential, total;

GWP-fossil = Global warming potential, fossil; GWP-biogenic = Global warming potential, biogenic;

GWP-luluc = Global warming potential, land use and land use change;

ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, accumulated exceedance; and

EP-freshwater end compartment

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Life Cycle Stars			EP-marine	EP- terrestrial	POCP	ADP- mineral & metals	ADP-fossil	WDP
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg N eq	mol N eq	kg NMVOC eq	kg Sb eq	MJ, net calorific value	m ³ world eq deprived
	Raw material supply	Al	0.005	0.697	0.171	4.34E-05	596	8.59
	Transport	A2	0.005	0.050	0.011	1.06E-07	25.1	0.019
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	0.366	4.01	0.988	2.02E-07	6.59E+03	1.31
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0.375	4.76	1.17	4.37E-05	7.21E+03	9.92
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hen always	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Use stage	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 La	ndfill Scenario							
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.004	0.044	0.011	1.25E-08	27.6	0.016
End of life	Transport	C2	0.091	1.01	0.195	2.86E-06	633	0.511
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.002	0.024	0.007	5.54E-08	16.0	0.132
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.134	1.45	0.446	2.57E-06	1.83E+03	3.53
100% Landfill Scenario					/			
K	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.004	0.044	0.011	1.25E-08	27.6	0.016
End of life	Transport	C2	0.003	0.036	0.006	1.38E-07	29.2	0.025
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0.028	0.303	0.083	6.92E-07	200	1.65
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.25	13.6	4.17	2.40E-05	1.71E+04	33.0
100% Recycling Scenc	irio	1						
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.004	0.044	0.011	1.25E-08	27.6	0.016
End of life	Transport	C2	0.098	1.10	0.212	3.10E-06	685	0.553
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0.037	0.397	0.122	7.04E-07	502	0.965

ADP-mineral&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources;

ADP-fossil = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer;

WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption.

The results of the three environmental impact indicators above shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with these indicators. EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment;

EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, accumulated exceedance; POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone;

PM = Particulate matter.

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated) Parameters describing environmental impacts

Life Circle Stores			PM	IRP	ETP-fw	HTP-c	HTP-nc	SQP			
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Catego	ory	disease incidence	kBq U ²³⁵ eq	CTUe	CTUh	CTUh	dimensionl			
	Raw material supply	A1	3.72E-06	0.800	2.46E-04	8.54E-09	8.03E-07	443			
	Transport	A2	1.97E-07	0.005	5.89E-06	3.52E-10	2.12E-08	9.09			
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	5.28E-06	0.055	1.28E-05	9.32E-08	2.49E-07	4.30			
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	9.20E-06	0.859	2.65E-04	1.02E-07	1.07E-06	4.56E+02			
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
(I.)-	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
Use stage	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
	Operational water Use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND			
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario											
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	6.69E-08	5.08E-04	2.45E-07	6.18E-10	1.84E-08	0.043			
End of life	Transport	C2	1.52E-06	0.117	1.61E-04	8.94E-09	5.22E-07	249			
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Disposal	C4	1.05E-07	0.021	2.42E-06	1.34E-09	1.48E-07	3.89			
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	8.16E-06	-3.60	1.83E-05	3.82E-07	1.48E-06	-173			
100% Landfill Scenario					/	17	/				
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	6.69E-08	5.08E-04	2.45E-07	6.18E-10	1.84E-08	0.043			
End of life	Transport	C2	3.65E-08	5.46E-03	7.83E-06	4.14E-10	2.45E-08	12.2			
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Disposal	C4	1.31E-06	0.263	3.02E-05	1.68E-08	1.85E-06	48.6			
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	7.63E-05	-33.7	1.71E-04	3.57E-06	1.38E-05	-1.61E+03			
100% Recycling Scene	ario	1		0-							
$\nabla \nabla$	Deconstruction, demolition	Cl	6.69E-08	5.08E-04	2.45E-07	6.18E-10	1.84E-08	0.043			
End of life	Transport	C2	1.65E-06	0.127	1.74E-04	9.68E-09	5.65E-07	270			
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	2.23E-06	-0.985	5.02E-06	1.04E-07	4.05E-07	-47.2			

IRP = Potential human exposure efficiency relative to U235; This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator. HTP-nc = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans; and ETP-fw = Potential comparative toxic unit for ecosystems;

HTP-c = Potential comparative toxic unit for humans;

SQP = Potential soil quality index.

The results of the four environmental impact indicators above shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with these indicators.



(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Life Quele Change			PERE	PERM	PERT	PENRE	PENRM	PENRT					
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ	MJ					
XI	Raw material supply	A1	75.4	0	75.4	596	0	596					
	Transport	A2	1.55	0	1.55	25.2	0	25.2					
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	2.65	0	2.65	6.59E+03	0	6.59E+03					
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	7.96E+01	0	7.96E+01	7.21E+03	0	7.21E+03					
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
	Repair	В3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
Use stage	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
ose sidge	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND					
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario													
/	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.049	0	0.049	27.6	0	27.6					
End of life	Transport	C2	42.4	0	42.4	634	0	634					
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0					
	Disposal	C4	2.61	0	2.61	16.0	0	16.0					
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-305	0	-305	1.85E+03	0	1.85E+03					
100% Landfill Scenario				\sim	·	M	/						
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0.049	0	0.049	27.6	0	27.6					
End of life	Transport	C2	2.07	0	2.07	29.3	0	29.3					
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0					
	Disposal	C4	32.6	0	32.6	200	0	200					
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-2.85E+03	0	-2.85E+03	1.73E+04	0	1.73E+04					
100% Recycling Scena	irio		~	1									
NJ	Deconstruction, demolition	Cl	0.049	0	0.049	27.6	0	27.6					
End of life	Transport	C2	45.9	0	45.9	687	0	687					
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0	0	0					
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Potential benefits and oads beyond the	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-83.5	0	-83.5	508	0	508					

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy used as raw materials;

PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources;

PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding nonrenewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials;

PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resource

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			SM	RSF	NRSF	FW
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg	MJ net calorific value	MJ net calorific value	m ³
	Raw material supply	Al	0	0	0	8.59
	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0.019
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	1.05E+03	0	0	1.31
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	1.05E+03	0	0	9.92
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND
tage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	ВЗ	ND	ND	ND	ND
lse stage	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND
ise sluge	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 La	ndfill Scenario					
1	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.016
d of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.511
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
1 - f	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0.132
Potential benefits and bads beyond the ystem boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-130	0	0	3.53
00% Landfill Scenario		N	A	/	N/)	
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.016
nd of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.025
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	1.65
Potential benefits and bads beyond the ystem boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-1.05E+03	0	0	33.0
00% Recycling Scena	rio		1-0	1		
\times	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0.016
and of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0.553
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0
Potential benefits and oads beyond the	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	-49.5	0	0	0.97

SM = Use of secondary material;

NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Net use of fresh water

RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels;

(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

			HWD	NHWD	RWD
Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		kg	kg	kg
	Raw material supply	A1	2.17E-09	0.649	0.009
	Transport	A2	9.13E-11	0.003	3.21E-05
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	3.68E-07	18.3	3.38E-04
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	3.70E-07	19.0	0.010
Construction	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND
process stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND
Use stage	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND
in ngr	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND
%92 Recycling / %8 L	andfill Scenario	T			
	Deconstruction,	C1	1.57E-11	0.004	7.03E-06
	demolition				
End of life	Transport	C2 C3	2.30E-09 0	0.090	8.15E-04 0
	Waste processing Disposal	C4	3.49E-10	80.1	1.82E-04
Potential benefits and		04	0.472-10	00.1	1.022-04
loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	4.67E-09	3.68	-0.033
100% Landfill Scenari	0				
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.57E-11	0.004	7.03E-06
End of life	Transport	C2	1.08E-10	0.004	3.78E-05
	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	4.36E-09	1.00E+03	0.002
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	4.37E-08	34.4	-0.304
100% Recycling Scen	ario	~ 1	T		
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	1.57E-11	0.004	7.03E-06
End of life	Transport	C2	2.49E-09	0.097	8.82E-04
2.13 01 110	Waste processing	C3	0	0	0
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	1.28E-09	1.01	-0.009

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed;

NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed;

RWD = Radioactive waste disposed



(ND = module not declared; MNR = module not relevant; INA = indicator not assessed; AGG = aggregated)

Life Cycle Stage	Impact Category		CRU	MFR	MER	EE	Biogenic carbon (product)	Biogenic carbon (packaging				
2			kg	kg	kg	MJ per energy carrier	kg C	kg C				
	Raw material supply	A1	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Transport	A2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Product stage	Manufacturing	A3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Total (of product stage)	A1-3	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Construction process	Transport	A4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
stage	Construction	A5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
	Use	B1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
	Maintenance	B2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
	Repair	B3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
lse stage	Replacement	B4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
use sluge	Refurbishment	B5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
	Operational energy use	B6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
	Operational water use	B7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND				
%92 Recycling / %8 Landfill Scenario												
1	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	920	0	0	0	0				
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
1 1 1	Waste processing	C3	0		0	0	0	0				
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0				
100% Landfill Scenario		I.		\sim		TV.	/					
	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	0	0	0	0	0				
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Waste processing	C3	0		0	0	0	0				
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0				
100% Recycling Scena	rio	\sim			1							
\mathbb{N}	Deconstruction, demolition	C1	0	1.00E+03	0	0	0	0				
End of life	Transport	C2	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	Waste processing	C3	0		0	0	0	0				
	Disposal	C4	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Potential benefits and oads beyond the system boundaries	Reuse, recovery, recycling potential	D	0	0	0	0	0	0				

CRU = Components for reuse;

MFR = Materials for recycling

MER = Materials for energy recovery; EE = Exported Energy



Scenarios and additional technical information

Scenario	Parameter	Units	Results
Modules C1 to C4 End of life	The end-of-life stage starts when the construction product is replaced, dismantled or decons or construction works and does not provide any further function. The recovered steel is transp a small portion is assumed to be unrecoverable and remains in the rubble which is sent to land steel is assumed to be recycled and 8% is sent to landfill [STELCONSTRUCTION.INFO 2012]. The and end-of-life in Oman. Once steel scrap is generated through the deconstruction activities on the demolition site reached the "end of waste" state. No further processing is required so there are no impacts ass Hence no impacts are reported in module C3.	oorted for re dfill. 92% of t e EPD cover e it is consid	ecycling whe reinforc rs transport ered to ho
	Waste for recycling - Recovered steel from crushed concrete	%	92
	Waste for energy recovery - Energy recovery is not considered for this study as most end-of- life steel scrap is recycled, while the remainder is landfilled	-	-
	Waste for final disposal - Unrecoverable steel lost in crushed concrete and sent to landfill	%	2
	Portion of energy assigned to rebar from energy required to demolish building, per tonne	MJ	24
	Transport to waste processing by Truck - Fuel consumption	litre/km	1.56
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Distance	km	463
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Capacity utilisation	%	85
	Transport to waste processing by Truck – Density of Product	kg/m ³	7850
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Fuel consumption	litre/km	0.0041
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship - Distance	km	158
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Capacity utilisation	%	50
	Transport to waste processing by Container ship – Density of Product	kg/m ³	7850
Module D	 "Benefits and loads beyond the system boundary" (module D) accounts for the environmental benefits and loads resulting from net steel scrap that is used as raw material in the EAF or in induction furnace and that is collected recycling at end of life. The balance between total scrap arisings recycled from fabrication, installation and end of and scrap consumed by the manufacturing process (internally sourced scrap is not included in this calculation). The benefits and loads are calculated by including the burdens of recycling and the benefit of avoided prime production. This study is concerned with the secondary production route and more scrap is required as input to the system that recovered at end of life. The net effect of this is that module D mainly models the burdens associated with the scr input (secondary material) to the steelmaking process. The resulting scrap credit/burden is calculated based on the global "value of scrap" approach (/worldsteel 2011). 		
	Recycled Content	kg	951
	Re-used Content	kg	0
	Recovered for recycling	kg	920
	Recovered for re-use	kg	0
		•	0



Summary, comments and additional information

Interpretation

The production stage (A1-A3) is the most important module for climate change, eutrophication freshwater, resource use (mineral and metals) and resource use (energy carriers) as well as water scarcity

Module D presents a significant credit in all impact categories, except for ODP. Impacts from other life cycle stages are negligible in comparison.

References

BSI. Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products. BS EN 15804:2012+A2:2019. London, BSI, 2019.

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations. Self-declared environmental claims (Type II environmental labelling). BS EN ISO 14021:2016+A1:2021. London, BSI, 2022

BSI. Environmental labels and declarations – Type III Environmental declarations – Principles and procedures. BS EN ISO 14025:2010 (exactly identical to ISO 14025:2006). London, BSI, 2010.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework. BS EN ISO BS EN ISO 14040:2006+A1:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – requirements and guidelines. BS EN ISO 14044:2006+A2:2020. London, BSI, 2020.

BSI. Sustainability of construction works. Data quality for environmental assessment of products and construction work. Selection and use of data. BS EN 15941:2024. London, 2024.

BSI. Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Communication format business-tobusiness. BS EN 15942:2021. London, 2021.

BSI. Eurocode. Basis of structural and geotechnical design. BS EN 1990:2023. London, 2023.

Demolition Energy Analysis of Office Building Structural Systems, Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1997

The Concrete Society, Design working life (concrete.org.uk)

LCA for Experts (LCA FE) Software System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering, Sphera Solution GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen, 2021

LCA for Experts (LCA FE) dataset documentation for the LCA FE Software System and Database for Life Cycle Engineering, Sphera Solution GmbH, Leinfelden-Echterdingen, 2021

International Energy Agency, Energy Statistics 2013. http://www.iea.org

Kreißig, J. und J. Kümmel (1999): Baustoff-Ökobilanzen. Wirkungsabschätzung und Auswertung in der Steine-Erden-Industrie. Hrsg. Bundesverband Baustoffe Steine + Erden e.V.

U.S. Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, Iron and Steel Slag, January 2014

SteelConstruction.info; The recycling and reuse survey, 2012 http://www.steelconstruction.info/The_recycling_and_reuse_survey



Environmental Product Declaration

Sustainability of construction works - Environmental product declarations - Methodology for selection and use of generic data; German version CEN/TR 15941

REG<mark>ULATION (EU) No 305/2011 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 9 March 2011 laying down harmonised conditions for the marketing of construction products and repealing Council Directive 89/106/EEC</mark>

WRAP (2017). WRAP (Waste & Resources Action Programme) Net Waste Tool

worldsteel Association - Life cycle inventory methodology report for steel products, 2017

BS 4449:2005+A3:2016 Steel for the reinforcement of concrete. Weldable reinforcing steel. Bar, coil and decoiled product. Specification.

ASTM A615/A615M – 24 Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon-Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement.

ASTM A706/A706M – 24 - Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Low-Alloy Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement.

SASO ASTM A615/A615M+A1:2023 - Standard Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon-Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement

ISO 6935-2:2019 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete - Part 2: Ribbed bars.

KWS GSO ISO 6935-2:2024 - Steel for the reinforcement of concrete — Part 2: Ribbed bars

BS EN 10025-2:2019 - Hot rolled products of structural steels. Technical delivery conditions for non-alloy structural steels

GOST 5781-82 Hot-rolled steel for reinforcement of concrete structures. Specifications

GOST 380-2005 - Common quality carbon steel. Grades

GOST 27772-2021 - Rolled products for structural steel constructions. General specifications